_	gets and Set-Asides in NCLB Formula Fund LEA Name:	
	Tenn	dgets and Set-Asides Checklist nessee Department of Education SEA – No Child Left Behind School Year <u>2007-2008</u>
District		Director of Schools
Assigned NO	CLB Field Service Consultant	Federal Programs Contact
udget and Set-A	side Status: Check the appropriate box	
	ets and set-asides meet NCLB requirements	
Budge	ets and set-asides appear to meet NCLB req	uirements, but I have some concerns. My concerns are listed below.
Incons	sistencies are evident with this LEA's budge	ets and set-asides. My concerns are listed below.
Concerns: (Thi oncern on a se 	•	your computer, the lines will "match" the length of whatever you type. Please li
Consultant S	ignature	Date Reviewed

<b>General Information</b>			No	N/A	Comments (Required for "No" responses; optional for "N/A" or "Yes" responses.)								
1.	Is LEA utilizing Consolidated Administration?				(If answer is "No", no comment required.)								
2.	Is LEA tracking administration funds by pooling them into a separate subfund? (Option, not a requirement)				(If "N	(If "No" for Question #1, please mark "N/A" on Question #2.)							
3.	Do equipment items costing \$5000 or more have prior written approval of the NCLB Consultant?												
4.	State Approved Indirect Cost Rate												
<u>Titl</u>	es and Categories		<u>I-A</u>		<u>II-A</u>			<u>II-D</u>			Private Schools		
		Yes	No	N/A	Yes	No	N/A	Yes	No	N/A	Yes	No	N/A
5.	Does the LEA staffing/FTE serving public schools match the numbers given in the Consolidated Application?												
6.	Does the LEA staffing/FTE serving <b>private</b> schools match the numbers given in the Consolidated Application?												
7.	Does the LEA staffing/FTE serving Local Neglected match the numbers given in the Consolidated Application?												
8.	Does the budget program an amount equal to or approximating the amount of the current FY and carryover amounts?												
9.	Does the budget reflect line items that are allowable under this program?												
10.	Do the line items in the budget correspond to approved activities in the appropriate section of the NCLB Consolidated Application?												
11.	Does the budget reflect the administrative percent for this title approved in the NCLB Consolidated Application? (pg. 24)												
12.	Is the "Indirect Costs" line item equal to or less than the State approved LEA Indirect Cost rate?												
13.	Do participating <b>private school</b> students receive an equitable per pupil amount as their counterparts attending public school? (EDGAR § 76.654)												
Comments Required? Please use an additional sheet and identify comments by Title/Category and Item Number													

Complete this column (Title III)
ONLY if the LEA is a Fiscal
Agent for a Consortium 🗌
OR is a Stand-Alone

Titles and Categories			<u>III</u>			<u>IV-A</u>			<u>v</u>			<u>VI</u>		
		Yes	No	<u>N/A</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	N/A	Yes	No	<u>N/A</u>	Yes	<u>No</u>	N/A	
14.	Does the LEA staffing/FTE serving public schools match the numbers given in the Consolidated Application?													
15.	Does the LEA staffing/FTE serving <b>private school</b> s match the numbers given in the Consolidated Application?													
16.	Does the budget program an amount equal to or approximating the amount of the current FY and carryover amounts?													
17.	Does the budget reflect line items that are allowable under this program?													
18.	Do the line items in the budget correspond to approved activities in the appropriate section of the NCLB Consolidated Application?													
19.	Does the budget reflect the administrative percent for this title approved in the NCLB Consolidated Application? (pg. 24)													
20.	Is the "Indirect Costs" line item equal to or less than the State approved LEA Indirect Cost rate?													
21.	Do participating <b>private school</b> students receive an equitable per pupil amount as their counterparts attending public school? (EDGAR § 76.654)													
Comme	ents Required? Please identify comments by l	both Item	number a	ind Title #	!									

<u>I-A</u>	(Continued)	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>N/A</u>	Comments (Required for "No" responses; optional for "N/A" or "Yes" responses.)
22.*	Do participating <b>private school</b> students receive an equitable portion of the LEA's reservation for instruction (including carryover, if applicable) and comparable services for instruction as their counterparts attending public school?				
23.	Is the LEA Allocation over \$500,000?				No comment required.
24.*	If "Yes" is marked on Question #23, has at least 1% of their allocation has been budgeted for parental involvement/family literacy and parenting skills (of which 95% is distributed to schools)?  (If "No" is marked for Question #23, please mark "N/A")				
25.*	If "Yes" is marked on Question #23, has a proportionate amount of the LEA's 1% for parental involvement been set-aside for parents of <b>private school</b> students? ( <i>If "No" is marked for Question #23, please mark "N/A"</i> )				
26.*	Has a proportionate amount of the LEA's 5% for professional development been set-aside for teachers of <b>private school</b> participants?				
27.*	Are the participating <b>private school</b> students receiving equitable services for Districtwide Instructional Initiatives? [Pg. 25-Consolidated Application]				
28.*	Is money reserved to provide comparable services for homeless students?				
29.*	Have unspent minimum <u>required</u> set-asides been rebudgeted? <i>Required set-asides must be spent as well as budgeted.</i>				("N/A" = Minimum requirement spent during current year)
30.*	Has 5% or more of allocation been budgeted to attain <u>Highly Qualified</u> status for all teachers and paraprofessionals?				No comment required if Question #31 is "Yes".
31.	If less than 5% is needed for Highly Qualified, has an explanation letter been submitted to the NCLB consultant serving this district?				

NOTE: *	next to an Item number denotes <u>required</u> set-asides apply.		_	-	
<u>I-A</u>	(Continued)	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>N/A</u>	Comments (Required for "No" responses; optional for "N/A" or "Yes" responses.)
32. *	High Priority LEAs: Is an additional 10% budgeted for staff development addressing identified AYP needs? (Under "Comment" section, please mark all that apply.)				AYP Identified Needs:
33.*	Previously Identified High-Priority LEAs: If last year's staff development set-aside was not expended, has any remaining staff development set-aside been rebudgeted in carryover? (see #32 above)				
34.	Was the LEA allocated Local Neglected funds by the US Department of Education?				No comment required
35.*	Does the LEA reserve the Local Neglected allocation to provide comparable services to children in local institutions for neglected children?				Money is released to state to provide comparable services
36.	LEAs with High Priority Title I Schools: If schools have not met AYP for two years, is an amount "equal to" 20% of the allocation reserved for Public School Choice (PSC) and/or Supplemental Education Services (SES), unless a lesser amount is needed?				
37.	Of the 20% for PSC/SES, is 5% to 15% reserved for transportation?				
38.	Of the 20% for PSC/SES, is 5% to 15% reserved for supplemental services?				
39.	Are non-Title I funds used to meet the PSC/SES requirement(s)?				
40.	Optional for LEAs with Title I Schools Not Making AYP: Is 5% or less of its allocation used to provide incentives and rewards to teachers to work in Title I schools not making AYP?				
41.	(* Memphis only) Is money set-aside to provide comparable services for children in local institutions for delinquent children?				

NOTE: \* next to an Item number denotes required set-asides apply.

<u>II-D</u>	<del></del>	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>N/A</u>	Comments (Required for "No" responses; optional for "N/A" or "Yes" responses.)
42. *	Is 25% or more of this allocation reserved for Professional Development?				
43. *	Have unspent minimum <u>required</u> set- asides from prior year fiscal year funds been rebudgeted? <i>Required set-asides</i> must be spent as well as budgeted.				("N/A" = Minimum requirement spent during current year)
<u>IV-A</u>	LEAs MAY decide to spend 20% on security-related items AND UP TO another 20% on an SRO. They may also decide NOT to use Title IV money for either of these options.	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>N/A</u>	Comments (Required for "No" responses; optional for "N/A" or "Yes" responses.)
44.	Does the LEA use any of the Title IV allocation for security-related items and/or school resource officers?				
45.	Does the LEA spend 20% or less of this allocation for security-related items? (SRO positions are NOT considered a security-related ITEM)				"N/A" indicates the LEA uses no Title IV funds for this purpose.
46.	If the LEA uses Title IV-A funds for the hiring and/or training a School Resource Officer, is the TOTAL AMOUNT SPENT for security-related personnel and items (including the SRO) equal or less than 40% of this allocation?				"N/A" indicates the LEA uses no Title IV funds for this purpose.

## Follow-Up Coversheet

(Use only if Follow-up(s) is/are required.

Attach Follow-Up coversheet on top of original coversheet and make comments where appropriate.)

## Tennessee Department of Education ESEA – No Child Left Behind School Year <u>2007-2008</u>

District	Director of Schools
Assigned NCLB Field Service Consultant	Federal Programs Contact
Budget and Set-Aside Status: Check the appropriate box  Budgets and set-asides meet NCLB requirements.	
Inconsistencies are evident with this LEA's budget	ts and set-asides. My concerns are listed below.
Follow-Up Comments: (Please address each concern listed 1 2 3	on original document.)
Consultant Signature	Follow-Up Date